

Andrew W. Schilling

Partner
1133 Avenue of the Americas
Suite 3100
New York, NY 10036
T (212) 600-2330
aschilling@buckleyfirm.com

September 4, 2020

By ECF

Honorable Analisa Torres United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York v. Encyclopaedia

Iranica Foundation, No. 19 Civ. 7465 (AT)(KNT);

Encyclopaedia Iranica Foundation v. The Trustees of Columbia University in the

City of New York, et al., No. 19 Civ. 8562 (AT)(KNF)

Dear Judge Torres:

We respectfully submit this letter on behalf of Columbia University and Dr. Daniel to oppose EIF's request for an extension of time to submit post-hearing opposition briefs.

For all the reasons set forth at the hearing on the preliminary injunction, and in the memorandum of law we filed with the Court yesterday, enjoining the continued publication of the *Encyclopaedia Iranica*, even for a short period, causes substantial harm, not only to Columbia and to the contributing authors, but also to students, teachers, researchers, journalists, policy makers, and the public generally. It is for that reason that we advised EIF's counsel earlier today by email that we could not consent to the extension they requested. Because counsel's letter to the Court (which was not shared with us before filing) selectively quotes from our last email to avoid mentioning our concern about harm, we enclose a complete copy of our exchange as Exhibit A.

As we explained to EIF's counsel, we would typically have no objection to extending the professional courtesy of a short extension of time for the convenience of counsel. But where, as here, there is a TRO in place, a request to extend the briefing schedule necessarily amounts to a request that the Court either extend the TRO even further, or decide the motion on a more expedited basis than the Court had originally contemplated. We respectfully submit that a request based solely on the mere convenience of counsel should not result either in an inconvenience to the Court or an extension of the TRO.

WASHINGTON, DC LOS ANGELES SAN FRANCISCO NEW YORK CHICAGO LONDON

Case 1:19-cv-07465-AT-KNF Document 120 Filed 09/04/20 Page 2 of 6

Honorable Analisa Torres September 4, 2020 Page 2

We thank the Court for its consideration of this submission

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andrew W. Schilling

cc: Counsel of record (via ECF)

EXHIBIT A

Wegrzyn, Brian

From: Schilling, Andrew

Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 4:41 PM

To: Yearwood, David A.; Lawrence, Amanda; Whitehill, Megan; Wegrzyn, Brian; Jenkins,

Marylee; Grow, Michael A.; Anderson, Taniel; Scarpati, Michael L.; Helfer, Alyssa; Alonso,

Daniel R.; 'Clarida, Robert W.'; 'Jacobson, Jocelyn'; 'Sig Roos'

Subject: RE: Columbia v. EIF. 19-cv-7465/ EIF v. Columbia, 19-cv-8562

David:

To be clear, if the issue were purely one of professional courtesy, we would typically be amenable to a short extension of time. But from our clients' perspective, the TRO is causing harm every day that it is in place, and we therefore cannot consent to any extension that may have the effect of delaying the Court's resolution of the motion.

Andrew

Andrew W. Schilling
Partner **Buckley LLP**1133 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 3100
New York, NY 10036
T (212) 600-2330
M (917) 574-7567
aschilling@buckleyfirm.com

From: Yearwood, David A. <David.Yearwood@arentfox.com>

Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 3:31 PM

To: Schilling, Andrew <ASchilling@buckleyfirm.com>; Lawrence, Amanda <alawrence@buckleyfirm.com>; Whitehill, Megan <mwhitehill@buckleyfirm.com>; Wegrzyn, Brian <bwegrzyn@buckleyfirm.com>; Jenkins, Marylee <Marylee.Jenkins@arentfox.com>; Grow, Michael A. <Michael.Grow@arentfox.com>; Anderson, Taniel <Taniel.Anderson@arentfox.com>; Scarpati, Michael L. <Michael.Scarpati@arentfox.com>; Helfer, Alyssa <ahelfer@buckleyfirm.com>; Alonso, Daniel R. <dalonso@buckleyfirm.com>; 'Clarida, Robert W.' <rclarida@reitlerlaw.com>; 'Jacobson, Jocelyn' <jjacobson@reitlerlaw.com>; 'Sig Roos' <roos@blockroos.com>

Subject: RE: Columbia v. EIF. 19-cv-7465/ EIF v. Columbia, 19-cv-8562

[EXTERNAL]

Andrew,

Thank you for the prompt response. As you know, it is a common professional courtesy to agree to short extension requests. We hope that you will reconsider (perhaps for a shorter extension would be amenable). We would prefer to litigate amicably and see no reason to deviate from that practice here.

Regards,

David

David A. Yearwood

Associate

Arent Fox LLP | Attorneys at Law 1301 Avenue of the Americas, Floor 42 New York, NY 10019 212.457.5448 DIRECT | 212.484.3990 FAX david.yearwood@arentfox.com | www.arentfox.com

From: Schilling, Andrew < ASchilling@buckleyfirm.com>

Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 1:45 PM

To: Yearwood, David A. David A. David A. David A. Yearwood.comDavid A. David A. Yearwood.comDavid A. David A. Yearwood.comYearwood.com< Whitehill, Megan mwhitehill@buckleyfirm.com; Wegrzyn, Brian bwegrzyn@buckleyfirm.com; Jenkins, Marylee <Marylee.Jenkins@arentfox.com>; Grow, Michael A. <Michael.Grow@arentfox.com>; Anderson, Taniel <Taniel.Anderson@arentfox.com>; Scarpati, Michael L. <Michael.Scarpati@arentfox.com>; Helfer, Alyssa <a heightful <a he <rclarida@reitlerlaw.com>; 'Jacobson, Jocelyn' <jjacobson@reitlerlaw.com>; 'Sig Roos' <roos@blockroos.com>

Subject: RE: Columbia v. EIF. 19-cv-7465/ EIF v. Columbia, 19-cv-8562

David:

Columbia University and Dr. Daniel do not consent and would oppose any such request.

Andrew

Andrew W. Schilling Partner **Buckley LLP** 1133 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 3100 New York, NY 10036 T (212) 600-2330 M (917) 574-7567 aschilling@buckleyfirm.com

From: Yearwood, David A. < <u>David.Yearwood@arentfox.com</u>>

Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 1:03 PM

To: Schilling, Andrew ASchilling@buckleyfirm.com; Lawrence, Amanda Alawrence@buckleyfirm.com; Whitehill, Megan <mwhitehill@buckleyfirm.com>; Wegrzyn, Brian <bwegrzyn@buckleyfirm.com>; Jenkins, Marylee < Marylee.Jenkins@arentfox.com >; Grow, Michael A. < Michael.Grow@arentfox.com >; Anderson, Taniel <Taniel.Anderson@arentfox.com>; Scarpati, Michael L. <Michael.Scarpati@arentfox.com>; Helfer, Alyssa <a heightful <a he <rclarida@reitlerlaw.com>; 'Jacobson, Jocelyn' <jjacobson@reitlerlaw.com>; 'Sig Roos' <roos@blockroos.com>

Subject: Columbia v. EIF. 19-cv-7465/ EIF v. Columbia, 19-cv-8562



Case 1:19-cv-07465-AT-KNF Document 120 Filed 09/04/20 Page 6 of 6

Counsel,

Given the pending Labor Day holiday weekend, we want to seek leave from the Court for a joint extension until the following Thursday, September 17, 2020 to file opposition papers to the post-hearing briefs filed yesterday. Please confirm Defendants consent to this proposed extension request.

Regards,

David

David A. Yearwood

Associate

Arent Fox LLP | Attorneys at Law
1301 Avenue of the Americas, Floor 42
New York, NY 10019
212.457.5448 DIRECT | 212.484.3990 FAX
david.yearwood@arentfox.com | www.arentfox.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments are for the exclusive and confidential use of the intended recipient. If you received this in error, please do not read, distribute, or take action in reliance upon this message. Instead, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and promptly delete this message and its attachments from your computer system. We do not waive attorney-client or work product privilege by the transmission of this message.

This email message (including any attachments) is only for use by the intended recipient(s) and is presumed confidential. It also may be subject to the attorney-client privilege or other confidentiality protections and may constitute inside information. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy, distribute, or otherwise use this message or its contents. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete this message (including any attachments) from your system immediately. Any unauthorized reading, copying, distribution, or other use of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments are for the exclusive and confidential use of the intended recipient. If you received this in error, please do not read, distribute, or take action in reliance upon this message. Instead, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and promptly delete this message and its attachments from your computer system. We do not waive attorney-client or work product privilege by the transmission of this message.